<u>Separation Systems Design</u> <u>Under Uncertainty</u>

Final Presentation for REU program August 3, 2006

Jamie Polan

Advisors: Professor Linninger Andrés Malcolm

Laboratory for Product and Process Design

University of Illinois- Chicago Department of Chemical Engineering

<u>Motivation</u>



- Must consume less energy to reduce dependence on foreign sources
- Could start by decreasing amount of energy in industry (33%)
 - chemical industry (24%)
 - petroleum refining industry (10%)
- Separations is a large part of the energy expense of these industries (60%)

Why Distillation?

- 95% of separations energy used for distillation
- More than 6% of total U.S. energy consumption used for distillation
- Total consumption by U.S.
 94.27 quadrillion Btu
- Consumption by distillation
 - 6.03 quadrillion Btu
- If process improved 0.1%, we could save
 - 6.03 x 10¹² Btu
 - Over 1 million barrels of crude oil
 - -\$70 million/year



http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/sci/tech/4669260.stm

<u>Distillation</u>

- Common method of separation in chemical and petroleum refining industries
- Uses concept of relative volatility
 - tendency to vaporize
 - more volatile = higher vapor pressure at operating temperature
- Very energy intensive
 - 40,000 distillation columns
 - >200 different processes
- Optimizing process will increase efficiency & minimize energy waste



http://www.energyinst.org.uk/education/coryton/page7.htm

How to Improve the Process of Distillation

- Steady state process behavior is well-known; less is known about performance of dynamic systems
- Study the dynamic process to see how uncertainty affects
 - performance
 - cost
 - energy required
- Show effects of uncertainty with integrated design and control
- Considering control is necessary to perform a realistic uncertainty analysis

<u>More energy efficient</u> columns in real life situations by considering the effects of dynamics and uncertainty in the design stage





<u>Case Study – Dynamic Column Model</u>



Controlled Column



<u>Sensitivity Studies of Design and Uncertainty</u> <u>Effects on Cost</u>

- Changing design affects mainly capital cost
 - More trays, higher capital cost
- Uncertain process parameters affect operating cost
 - Feed composition
 - » Lower z_F , higher operating cost
 - Relative volatility
 - » Lower α , higher operating cost



Uncertainty in both z_F and α leads to significantly higher costs

- Avg. operating cost variation \$3,700
- 40,000 columns
- Over \$135 million total
- This cost could be diminished if these sources of uncertainty were considered while designing columns

Conclusions/Future Directions

- More energy efficient columns can be obtained by considering the effects of dynamics and uncertainty in the design stage
- Design & uncertainty greatly affect costs
 - More trays higher capital cost
 - Feed less concentrated in light component higher operating cost
 - Lower relative volatility higher operating cost
- Many types of uncertainty should be studied simultaneously
 - With both lower z_F and α , millions of dollars are lost to uncertainty
- Improve operating cost model
 - better correlation of cost to energy requirements
- Develop systematic design methodology
 - predict column performance, cost, & energy requirements when many variables are uncertain
- Study other parameter variations
 - heat quality
 - tray efficiency



http://www.eia.doe.gov/kids/energyfacts/

<u>References</u>

- Annual Energy Outlook 2003. Energy Information Association, DOE, 2003. http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/FTPROOT/forecasting/0383(2003).pdf
- Bansal, Vikrant, John D. Perkins, and Efstratios N. Pistikopoulos, A Case Study in Simultaneous Design and Control Using Rigorous, Mixed-Integer Dynamic Optimization Models, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2002, 41, 760-778.
- Bequette, B. Wayne, Process Dynamics: Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation, Prentice Hall PTR, New Jersey, 1998.
- Doherty, M.F. and M.F. Malone. Conceptual Design of Distillation Systems. McGraw-Hill, 2001.
- Douglas, James M. Conceptual Design of Chemical Processes. McGraw Hill, 1988.
- Eldridge, R. Bruce and A. Frank Seibert. Hybrid Separations/Distillation Technology: Research Opportunities for Energy and Emissions Reduction, 2005.
- Energy and Environmental Profile of the U.S. Petroleum Refining Industry. U.S. DOE/OIT, December 1998. <u>http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/iab98/petroleum/sector.html</u>
- Felder, Richard M. and Ronald W. Rousseau. Elementary Principles of Chemical Processes, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000.
- Malcolm, A. and A. A. Linninger, Integrating systems design and control using dynamic flexibility analysis, submitted to I&ECR, 2006.
- Manufacturing Energy Consumption Survey. Energy Information Administration, DOE, 2001. http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/mecs/iab98/petroleum/energy_use.html
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology Chemistry WebBook Database. Accessed Wed, May 31, 2006.
- Perry, Robert H., Don W. Green. Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 1999.
- Seader, J.D. and Ernest J. Henley. Separation Process Principles. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.
- World Crude Oil Prices. Energy Information Association, DOE, 2006. http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_pri_wco_k_w.htm

Acknowledgements

- Supplement from NSF DMI 0328134
 "CLEAN CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING UNDER UNCERTAINTY"
 PI Andreas A. Linninger
- Professor Andreas Linninger
- Andrés Malcolm
- LPPD





http://www.schoolscience.co.uk/petroleum/index.html